Original Research Article

Omniscient Pte.Ltd.

What You Need to Know to Be Considered Educated in the Age of AI, According to AI

Jayden Z. Mun[*], **Emma G. Mun**

International Institute for Professional Education and Research (California U.S., Hull U.K.)

[*]**Correspondence to:** Jayden Z. Mun. (California U.S., Hull U.K.); Email: jmun0130@cs.com

Abstract: The ubiquitous influence of artificial intelligence (AI) in today's world requires a reevaluation of the traditional notions of being "educated." To that end, we conducted an integrative review of recent (2023–2025) domestic and international literature in the fields of education, business, technology, and policy, as well as expert perspectives and insights from advanced AI systems. The results presented here highlight a redefinition of educational excellence that emphasizes human-AI collaboration and the cultivation of unique human strengths. Those results also identify key foundational competencies such as AI literacy, critical thinking, creative adaptability, ethical reasoning, and interpersonal skills that are deemed essential for being considered educated in the AI age. We also discuss how AI reshapes educational paradigms, from K–12 to higher education and workforce development, and examine challenges, including ethical dilemmas, equity gaps, and academic integrity concerns. Finally, we propose a number of recommendations that encourage educators, institutions, and policymakers to adapt curricula, teaching practices, and policies to prepare learners for an AI-augmented society.

Keywords: Using AI by AI; AI in education; Artificial intelligence in higher education

1. Introduction

Today, the speed and scale of the launch and adoption of generative AI systems are simply astonishing (see, e.g., Hu, 2023). Possessing the ability to draft essays, generate images, assist scientific research, and drive business decisions, AI systems blur the line between human and machine capabilities, raising a fundamental question for society in general and for education in particular: What does it mean to be "educated" in the age of AI? When the tap of a few computer keys can produce information almost instantly via AI, the value of traditional education

models centered on memorization and routine skills is being challenged (Hughes, 2023). Education can no longer mean simply accumulating knowledge, but it must entail higher-order competencies that enable individuals to leverage AI effectively while thinking critically and ethically about its outputs.

To address this global challenge of leveraging AI, international organizations have begun issuing guidance on AI and education, emphasizing new literacies and a human-centered approach to technology (UNESCO, 2023). Indeed, the United States—a leader in AI innovation—faces pressure to ensure its

workforce and citizenry are prepared for AI's impacts. The U.S. Department of Education (2023) has outlined priorities for integrating AI in teaching and learning, while studies on the "future of work" highlight that a significant portion of current jobs could be automated or transformed by AI in the coming years (World Economic Forum, 2025). Therefore, educational goals need to be updated to reflect the requirements for functioning effectively in an AI-influenced world.

In this context, the definition of an educated person is shifting. Measuring education solely by accumulating information or mastering routine procedures is no longer sufficient. Instead, educators, policymakers, and learners must consider cultivating skills and dispositions that complement AI and leverage its capabilities. This article explores what knowledge, abilities, and values individuals need to thrive in an AI-saturated environment. In the following sections, we outline the purpose and scope of this inquiry, review the relevant literature, describe our methods (including a novel use of AI systems to inform the research), present the findings regarding key competencies identified, and offer recommendations for stakeholders. Through this comprehensive analysis, we aim to clarify "what you need to know" to be considered educated in the age of AI, according to the best current evidence and expert perspectives.

2. Purpose Statement

This study aims to examine and clarify the evolving meaning of being "educated" in the context of ubiquitous AI, specifically by:

- **Synthesizing multidisciplinary perspectives** on how AI transforms education and the competencies demanded of learners, drawing from fields such as education, business, technology, and ethics.

- **Identifying core knowledge and skill domains** essential for an individual to be educated in an AI-driven society, including technical literacies, cognitive skills, creativity, ethics, and interpersonal abilities.

- **Analyzing the impact of AI on educational practices and outcomes** across different levels (K–12, higher education, workforce development), highlighting global trends and focusing on developments in the United States.

- **Exploring key challenges and ethical considerations** associated with AI in education, such as academic integrity, equity and access, and bias, which must be addressed in defining a well-rounded education.

- **Recommending strategies and actions** for educators, educational institutions, and policymakers to update curricula, pedagogies, and policies to effectively prepare students for life and work in the age of AI.

By addressing these objectives, the article provides a comprehensive understanding of what learners and educators need to know and do to ensure that education remains relevant and empowering in the era of AI.

3. Literature Review

Table 1 provides an overview of the major AI categories, with examples, and brief statements of their educational relevance, highlighting the need for a baseline understanding of AI as an integral component of education. While the average person does not need to be an AI expert or programmer, AI *literacy*—an understanding of what AI is, how it works at a conceptual level, and how it should be used responsibly—is increasingly seen as a critical competency. This theme recurs throughout recent educational research and policy literature: To thrive in an AI-rich world, individuals must understand the technology well enough to use it wisely and to guard against its pitfalls.

Table 1: Major Categories of AI and Their Educational Relevance

AI Category	Description	Examples	Relevance to Education
Narrow AI (Weak AI)	Specialized AI for specific tasks	Language translation, virtual assistants, image classifiers; ChatGPT (large language model or LLM for text)	These are tools that can automate or assist with tasks in learning (e.g., answering queries, grading). Educated individuals should know the capabilities **and** limitations of these tools.
Generative AI	A subset of narrow AI that generates new content from learned patterns	GPT-based chatbots, image generators, and music composition	While GPT-based tools can produce essays, creative work, or solutions, their use requires critical evaluation by learners because outputs may be plausible but incorrect. They also offer opportunities for personalized learning content.

Continuation Table:

AI Category	Description	Examples	Relevance to Education
Artificial General Intelligence (AGI)	Hypothetical future AI with human-like broad cognitive abilities (strong AI)	None in existence (theoretical)	Raises questions about what unique knowledge/ skills humans must have. Education must emphasize human strengths (ethics, creativity, adaptability) to prepare for a more autonomous AI future.
Machine Learning & Data-Driven AI	AI methods that enable systems to learn from data and improve over time	Neural networks, adaptive learning algorithms, predictive analytics	Powers most AI tools used in education (e.g., intelligent tutoring systems). Educated individuals should be data-literate to understand how AI conclusions are reached and where they might go wrong (bias, errors).

AI's Impact on Educational Paradigms

Traditionally, being "educated" was equated with mastery of content knowledge and cognitive skills like memorization and procedural problem-solving. The recent academic literature indicates that AI is triggering a paradigm shift in education, promoting a focus on what humans uniquely contribute. Webb (2023) describes this moment as a "third knowledge revolution," in which generative AI transforms how humans acquire and use knowledge. Indeed, it is the conventional education model that AI is making obsolete, not human intellect, thus necessitating an emphasis on the higher-order thinking and skills that enable learners to work alongside intelligent machines (Hughes, 2023). By consensus, the mark of an educated individual in the AI era is less about factual knowledge and more about how they think and adapt in concert with AI tools.

Emergence of AI Literacy

As evidenced in recent research in education, *data literacy*—the ability to interpret and work with data (which fuels AI systems)—has been expanded to include *AI literacy* as a foundational competency, forming part of a new baseline for an educated person. Being AI literate includes at least a working understanding of how AI systems work (UNESCO, 2023) and their capabilities and limitations; familiarity with concepts such as machine learning, algorithms, and data bias; and practical skills in using AI-driven applications. Governments are beginning to respond; for instance, several countries have introduced K–12 curricula covering basic AI and data concepts (UNESCO, 2024). In higher education, universities are rolling out courses on AI for nontechnical majors, reflecting a recognition that understanding AI is now as fundamental as understanding electricity or the internet in prior eras.

Critical Thinking and Information Evaluation

Generative AI is a "fast but frequently unreliable source of information" (UNESCO, 2023), prone to factual errors or biases. Thus, being educated today means possessing strong *information literacy*—the critical thinking skill to assess the credibility, accuracy, and bias of information from AI outputs (or, for that matter, from any source). Azmanova and Blackman (2023) introduce the notion of *second-order critical thinking* in an AI context: Learners must think critically about content and examine how AI systems arrived at that content by, for example, questioning the data used to train the AI model or determining if an AI-derived recommendation might be skewed. The literature suggests that educational programs must emphasize teaching students *how to question*, analyze, and verify information. This could mean incorporating exercises where students compare AI-generated answers with traditional research or explicitly teaching the limitations of AI (Johnson et al., 2024). In summary, the consensus is that critical thinking, long a cornerstone of education, has taken on even greater importance to guard against the misuse or unquestioning acceptance of AI outputs.

Creativity and Adaptability

While AI can generate content and even simulate creativity in art or writing, one concern raised in the literature is that overreliance on AI tools could dampen students' creative development or initiative. For instance, educators have observed that if students use AI to author essays or solve problems without restraint, they may bypass the deep learning from grappling with challenges themselves (Tambe, 2025). UNESCO (2023) recommends that certain foundational skills should still be learned *without* AI assistance to ensure learners develop their cognitive capacities. At the same time, being educated in the AI age also involves *creative*

adaptation—using AI as a springboard for new ideas rather than a crutch. Creative individuals can leverage AI to augment their imagination (e.g., brainstorming with a generative AI tool), but then go beyond the AI's output with original human insight. The literature often cites "creativity" and "adaptability" since AI's rapid evolution requires individuals to adapt and learn new tools and skills continuously. Today's educated person is expected to be a lifelong learner who can creatively apply knowledge in novel situations, including human-AI collaboration.

Ethical Reasoning and Responsible Use of AI

AI technologies carry ethical implications—they can embed bias, threaten privacy, or make decisions with moral consequences—necessitating a grounding in *ethical reasoning* and a sense of responsibility in using AI. To ensure that learners consider the human impact of automated decisions, Noddings (2023) argues that the ethics of care and empathy must be integrated into tech education. Kapoor (2024) provides evidence of algorithmic biases in educational software, underscoring that even well-intentioned AI tools can inadvertently disadvantage certain groups. With such findings in mind, higher education institutions are beginning to include modules on AI ethics in their programs, and organizations such as the U.S. Department of Education (2023) have emphasized "responsible AI use" as a key principle. Being educated now implies possessing technical knowledge of AI as well as a commitment to using AI that is aligned with ethical and egalitarian values.

Human-Centered Skills and Emotional Intelligence

In the age of smart machines, abilities such as communication, collaboration, leadership, and emotional intelligence are often cited as valuable "future-proof" competencies that AI cannot replicate easily. Thus, the demand for social skills and the ability to work in teams will continue to rise even as technical skills evolve (World Economic Forum, 2025). Educated individuals will need to excel in these interpersonal domains to complement AI, for instance, in translating complex technological concepts to diverse audiences, leading mixed human-AI teams, or exercising empathy in roles such as healthcare and counseling, where human connection is irreplaceable. Additionally important in a global, AI-connected environment are cultural awareness and adaptability, which align with the notion that a well-rounded education in the 2020s must cultivate the "soft" skills alongside technical capability. Business and management studies similarly emphasize that leadership in an AI-rich workplace will require high emotional intelligence and the ability to guide ethical AI use among teams (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2023). In sum, the literature reinforces that interpersonal and human-centric skills form a critical pillar of education that grows even more significant as AI handles more technical tasks.

Global and U.S. Responses in Education

Redefining education for the AI age has been recognized worldwide as an imperative. UNESCO's 2024 report, *Education for the AI Era,* surveys policy responses in dozens of countries, finding a common emphasis on integrating AI literacy and ensuring equity in access to technology (UNESCO, 2024). Many nations are updating their national curricula and funding teacher training on AI. For example, China and some European countries have introduced AI-related content in K–12 education, and Singapore has national programs to train educators in AI pedagogy. In the United States, a report released by the U.S. Department of Education (2023) outlines opportunities and cautionary guidelines for AI in teaching and learning, emphasizing principles like human-in-the-loop (keeping human oversight over AI decisions) and teacher professional development. Some American universities have formed task forces on academic integrity in the age of AI, given concerns about plagiarism with tools like ChatGPT (Johnson et al., 2024), while others are integrating AI ethics and policy topics into general education requirements. Surveys of U.S. faculty and students suggest a mix of excitement and concern—many educators see potential for AI to enhance learning, even as a majority also worry about increases in cheating or loss of student skill development if AI is misused (Coffey, 2024). The U.S. case exemplifies the broader global dynamic: rapid recognition of AI's importance for education and ongoing efforts to adapt policies and practices in real time.

Implications for Business and Higher Education

Within the multidisciplinary landscape, business and higher education literature provide additional insights into the necessity of adapting education for AI. Business education, especially, has quickly embraced AI as a topic of critical importance. By

2024, leading business schools were rolling out analytics and AI-focused courses, and accrediting bodies were encouraging the integration of AI into MBA curricula (Graduate Management Admission Council, 2024). Consequently, a 2025 news report noted that AI content had become widespread in business school programs, reflecting the industry's demand for managers who understand AI (Leckrone, 2025). Beyond curriculum content, higher education researchers continue to examine how AI changes teaching and assessment. Johnson et al. (2024) found that university faculty were adapting their assessment strategies in response to AI writing tools—for instance, designing more in-class assignments and oral exams that are harder to outsource to an AI. There is also emerging work to create "AI-resistant" assessments or to use AI to personalize student learning materials. In addition, higher education leaders are stressing the importance of interdisciplinary learning in this AI age. An educated business graduate, for example, should not only have technical data science skills but also training in ethics and communication to implement AI in organizations responsibly. The trend across undergraduate and graduate programs is toward blending technical literacy with the durable skills discussed earlier. This trend aligns with workforce reports outside academia, stressing that employees at all levels need both digital and human-centric skills to thrive in an AI-transformed economy (World Economic Forum, 2025). Thus, adapting education for AI is not confined to computer science classes; it requires broad-based changes in how we educate future engineers, managers, teachers, and professionals in every domain.

4. Research Methods

To gather and synthesize information, our method combines traditional literature review techniques with AI-augmented research to provide a broad, up-to-date overview. This approach is especially suited to a fast-moving field like AI in education, where leveraging AI tools can help researchers keep pace with new developments while still relying on human critical evaluation to verify and interpret the findings.

The research process involved several steps: source selection, AI-augmented research, data extraction and synthesis, and analysis.

Source Selection

We identified relevant literature published in 2023–2025 that addresses the intersection of AI and education. Sources were drawn from multiple domains to ensure a comprehensive perspective: peer-reviewed academic journals (for theoretical and empirical studies), official reports and policy papers from governmental and international organizations (such as UNESCO and the U.S. Department of Education), industry and think-tank reports (e.g., World Economic Forum's *Future of Jobs* reports), and credible media articles highlighting contemporary debates (including higher education news outlets and technology news). To provide international context and U.S.-specific details, we concentrated on sources offering global insights or focusing on the United States. Specifically for the business education angle, we included reports from educational organizations and surveys of business schools (e.g., press releases and industry analyses on AI adoption in curricula).

AI-Augmented Research

We leveraged advanced generative AI language models as research assistants to complement traditional research. Five state-of-the-art AI systems—OpenAI's ChatGPT, Anthropic's Claude, the Perplexity AI search assistant, GitHub Copilot, and Google's Gemini model—were prompted with our research question and tasked with producing draft analyses of "what it means to be educated in the age of AI." These AI-generated documents (each structured as an academic-style essay with sections such as Introduction, Literature Review, etc.) provided a synthesized overview from the perspective of AI. Using AI allowed us to rapidly canvas various concepts and facts drawn from the models' training data. We treated these outputs as secondary literature: The models cited various reports and studies in their narratives, which we cross-checked against primary sources wherever possible. This novel approach—asking AI to summarize the state of knowledge—offered a way to capture insights that might be highlighted by AI "itself," aligning with the theme "according to AI." It is important to note that all information drawn from AI outputs was verified and grounded in documented sources to the best of our ability, and citations were preserved or added to maintain transparency.

Data Extraction and Synthesis

Sources were compiled through conventional collection and with the assistance of AI. We extracted salient points, findings, and recommendations pertaining to AI and the educational paradigm. We noted recurring themes (such as the importance of AI literacy or ethical concerns), specific statistics (e.g., reported percentages of schools adopting AI or survey results on skills demand), and illustrative examples (like national initiatives or institutional case studies). The extracted data points were then organized according to the framework of our research questions, grouping them under categories such as "Key Competencies Needed" and "Challenges/Considerations." We compared the themes from human-authored literature with those from the AI-generated summaries to ensure no major perspective was overlooked. Where the AI outputs brought up points not extensively covered in the academic literature, we investigated those topics further (for instance, if an AI model highlighted a concept like "second-order critical thinking," we traced it to the source by Azmanova and Blackman [2023] in the scholarly literature).

Analysis

The multidisciplinary nature of the inquiry meant comparing insights from education theory, workforce studies, ethics, and technology. Through this comparative analysis, we distilled a set of core competencies and principles across sources that define an educated person in the AI era. We also compiled the various recommendations from the literature and AI insights, noting common strategies advocated by different authors (e.g., the call for teacher training in AI appears in both UNESCO's guidance and the U.S. Department of Education's report). The results of this synthesis are presented in the next section, followed by a consolidated set of recommendations derived from the reviewed sources.

5. Research Results

Through our review, we distilled a set of core competencies and knowledge areas that collectively define what it means to be educated in the age of AI. An overview of these key domains follows.

● **AI and Digital Literacy.** Proficiency in understanding and using AI tools and a solid grasp of basic computing and data literacy are fundamental. An educated person today should know, for example, what machine learning and algorithms are at a conceptual level, be aware that AI models can have biases, and be capable of effectively interacting with AI-driven systems (such as chatbots, intelligent search engines, or data analysis platforms). Going beyond traditional information and communication technology skills, such proficiency implies being comfortable with emerging technologies and staying current as those technologies evolve (UNESCO, 2023). AI literacy enables individuals to leverage AI for learning and problem-solving rather than being mystified or intimidated by it.

● **Critical Thinking and Problem-Solving.** The ability to think critically, evaluate information, and solve complex problems has emerged as an intrinsic attribute of an educated individual in the AI era. With the internet and AI providing abundant information (and misinformation), individuals must be adept at questioning and verifying what they encounter. Educated people use reasoning to interpret AI outputs, identify errors or bias, and integrate multiple sources of evidence before accepting conclusions. They are also skilled problem-solvers in novel situations—able to tackle challenges that do not have a clear formula, often by collaborating with AI as a tool. This competency ensures that humans remain the "sense-makers," applying judgment to whatever data or answers AI systems produce.

● **Creativity and Innovation.** In a world where AI can generate routine content, human creativity—the ability to see things in innovative ways—becomes an even more vital component of modern education. Educated individuals learn to use AI as a creative aide (e.g., generative AI to spark ideas or prototype solutions) but not as a substitute for their imagination. Equally important is adaptability: the willingness and ability to learn new skills or adjust to new environments continuously. Being educated now implies having a growth mindset and comfort with change, since AI tools and societal needs are in constant flux. Those who are truly educated cultivate their creative talents and adaptability, ensuring they can innovate and remain relevant even as technologies disrupt old ways of doing things.

● **Ethical Reasoning and Integrity.** A defining feature of education in the AI age is a grounding in ethics and an awareness of digital citizenship

responsibilities. Educated people understand the ethical implications of AI, including privacy, security, honesty (e.g., not presenting AI-generated work as solely their human work), fairness (e.g., recognizing and counteracting biases in algorithms), and the potential for misuse, and they strive to make responsible decisions when using AI. They can consider the impact of AI on others and society. In practical terms, an educated individual should be prepared to discuss how AI should or should not be used, bringing moral reasoning to decisions in their personal and professional lives (Noddings, 2023). In short, they have the knowledge, skills, and wisdom to use AI conscientiously.

● **Communication and Collaboration Skills.** The human ability to communicate effectively—to write, speak, and convey ideas clearly—and to work well with others is highlighted, not diminished, by the rise of AI. Educated individuals excel in communication, including the capacity to explain complex concepts (like an AI system's recommendation) in plain language to diverse audiences. They also possess strong collaboration and teamwork skills, which are essential for interdisciplinary problem-solving. In many scenarios, humans will work in teams that include AI agents (e.g., using AI decision-support tools in a business setting), so an educated person must be able to lead and coordinate such human-AI collaboration. Additionally, because AI cannot (at least for now) replicate genuine human empathy and social connection, skills like negotiation, empathy, cultural competence, and leadership have become key differentiators. Indeed, the Global Workforce Analytics project predicts that social and emotional skills will remain in high demand even as automation advances (World Economic Forum, 2025). The hallmark of a well-educated person is, therefore, someone who can integrate technical understanding with strong interpersonal abilities.

All of these competencies rest on a broader foundation: a mindset of *lifelong learning*. Given the rapid pace at which AI and knowledge are evolving, being educated requires continuous updating of one's skills and knowledge. Educated individuals recognize the need to keep learning throughout their careers and lives, whether taking online courses to understand a new AI tool, staying informed about technological trends, or developing entirely new skills as old ones become automated. In the age of AI, the "half-life" of knowledge is short; thus, one of the most critical outcomes of education is learning *how to learn*. Cultivating curiosity, resilience, and a habit of lifelong learning ensures that a person remains educated over time. This adaptive, proactive learning orientation was a recurring theme across the literature and is the ultimate meta-competency underlying all others.

6. Key Recommendations

Considering the findings, we propose a series of recommendations for various stakeholders in education to ensure that learners acquire the necessary competencies for the AI age. The following recommendations synthesize common themes from the literature and highlight actionable steps.

A. For Educational Institutions (Schools, Colleges, Universities)

AI's influence extends directly into the classroom, prompting significant changes in teaching methods, learning experiences, assessment strategies, and the very role of the educator. Understanding these pedagogical shifts is crucial for defining students' educational landscape.

Personalized Learning. With the ability to analyze student data (responses, interaction patterns, progress) to tailor educational content, adjust the pace of instruction, and provide individualized feedback in real-time, AI-driven adaptive learning systems can provide personalized learning experiences for a larger number of students than is possible without AI. Examples include platforms like Knewton Alta, Carnegie Learning's MATHia, DreamBox, Smart Sparrow, and intelligent tutoring systems designed to mimic one-on-one instruction. Proponents argue that these systems can improve learning outcomes, boost student engagement, cater to diverse learning styles and needs, and potentially help close achievement gaps.

Teacher Augmentation. Often framed as a "teaching assistant" rather than a replacement, AI is also positioned as a tool to augment the capabilities of human teachers, primarily by automating time-consuming administrative and preparatory tasks. AI can assist with grading (especially for objective assessments), scheduling, generating lesson plans and materials, creating quizzes and summaries from text,

composing routine communications, and analyzing student performance data. The goal is to free up educators' time, allowing them to focus on more complex pedagogical functions like fostering critical thinking, providing individualized support, mentoring students, and building meaningful relationships.

New Assessment Strategies. Because traditional educational assessments rely heavily on information recall or standardized outputs, which are vulnerable to the misuse of generative AI, educators are exploring "AI-resistant" assessments or methods that critically integrate AI use. This shift in assessment practices involves emphasizing higher-order thinking skills (analysis, evaluation, creation), focusing on learning and problem-solving rather than just the final product, and requiring students to apply knowledge in authentic, real-world contexts or through personal reflection. Examples include oral examinations, debates, collaborative projects, in-class activities requiring on-the-fly thinking, portfolio creation, and assignments that ask students to critique or build upon AI-generated content. The ability to leverage information literacy skills to evaluate AI outputs also becomes a key assessment component. While AI detection tools exist, their reliability is questionable, often leading to false positives or negatives, making them unsuitable for definitive proof of academic dishonesty.

Potential Downsides and Challenges. A major concern with the integration of AI in education is the potential erosion of critical thinking, original thought, and problem-solving skills due to "cognitive offloading"—the tendency to outsource mental effort to technology. Student writing influenced by AI often deteriorates through the use of generic, abstract language lacking substance. With the increasingly widespread student use of AI for assignments and varying perceptions of whether that constitutes cheating, academic integrity is also a significant concern. Personalized technology-mediated learning, while promising, might reduce crucial human interaction, emotional connection, and collaborative learning experiences and carries the risk of creating narrow "filter bubbles" that limit exposure to diverse perspectives. Critically, equity issues persist, related both to the digital divide (unequal access to necessary technology and internet connectivity) and algorithmic bias embedded in AI tools, which can disadvantage marginalized student groups. Finally, implementing AI effectively requires significant investment in infrastructure and in vital comprehensive training and ongoing support for educators.

Pedagogical Strategies for Critical AI Literacy. Deliberate pedagogical strategies focused on fostering critical AI literacy involve teaching students not just *how* to use AI tools, but how to use them *critically, ethically,* and *effectively*. Key strategies include encouraging skepticism towards AI outputs; teaching students to fact-check, cross-reference information, and identify potential biases; explicitly discussing AI's limitations and ethical implications; integrating AI literacy concepts across the curriculum rather than isolating them in specific tech courses; designing activities where students analyze, critique, or compare AI-generated content with human-created work or their reasoning; and implementing techniques to mitigate cognitive offloading, such as requiring students to attempt problems independently before using AI, embedding reflection steps in workflows, and designing AI tools that promote active engagement rather than passive consumption. Additionally, transparency about AI use by both students and instructors is vital.

Integrate AI and Data Literacy into the Curriculum. Update curricula across disciplines to include foundational AI concepts, data analysis skills, and ethical implications, by offering dedicated AI literacy and ethics courses or embedding AI-related case studies and tools into existing humanities, sciences, and business courses.

Emphasize Interdisciplinary Learning. Break down silos between fields—for example, encouraging collaborations between computer science and social science departments—so that students can understand AI in context. Business and professional schools should incorporate technical content (e.g., machine learning basics) alongside training in communication and ethics (Graduate Management Admission Council, 2024).

Provide Teacher Training and Support. Given that few teachers globally have formal training in AI (UNESCO, 2023), institutions should prioritize investing in professional development to help instructors at all levels learn how to use AI tools and teach with (and about) AI. Workshops on AI-assisted teaching platforms, forums for sharing best practices, and guidance on maintaining academic integrity are

just some of the ways to help build the teaching skills needed.

Develop Clear Policies on AI Use. Academic integrity policies may need revisions (Johnson et al., 2024) to reflect scenarios like AI-generated content, requiring students to disclose AI assistance, and ensuring assessments still gauge student learning. To that end, establishing institution-wide guidelines for acceptable AI use in coursework and research, including issues like plagiarism and cheating with AI, data privacy (for instance, if AI platforms use student data), and when AI tools are allowed or disallowed in assignments.

Invest in Infrastructure and Access. Ensure students and staff have access to the necessary technology, such as reliable internet, computing devices, and licensed AI software or platforms. Schools in under-resourced areas might need targeted funding to acquire these tools. Bridging the digital divide is crucial for the equitable distribution of AI benefits; institutions should lobby for and utilize funding (government grants, partnerships) to improve access (UNESCO, 2024).

Foster a Culture of Ethical AI Use. Create committees or working groups to continually assess AI's impact on campus, solicit feedback from students and faculty, and update policies as needed. Institutions might establish honor code addenda covering AI or host discussions (e.g., seminars on AI ethics) to engage the campus community in defining responsible AI practices. Making ethical considerations a normal part of AI adoption can build trust and buy-in for AI initiatives.

B. For Educators (Teachers and Faculty)

Leverage AI as a Teaching Tool. Educators should experiment with AI applications that enhance learning, such as AI-driven tutoring systems and AI agents to provide personalized practice for students or generative AI to produce multiple examples or explanations of a concept. By augmenting their instructional methods with AI tools (rather than feeling threatened by them), teachers can focus their time on higher-value mentoring and interactive learning.

Design "AI-Resilient" Assessments. To make the unrestricted use of AI either unfeasible or easily monitored, assessments can be redesigned to value original thought and process. More in-class assignments, oral presentations, project-based evaluations, and collaborative work formats can reduce the risk of students' over-reliance on AI. When appropriate, teachers can allow AI in assignments but then require students to reflect on or critique the AI's output, thus turning AI into a learning opportunity rather than a shortcut (Johnson et al., 2024).

Teach Critical Engagement with AI. To impart critical thinking skills and demystify AI, teachers should incorporate lessons on using AI critically and responsibly within their subject areas. For example, a high school teacher might have their students fact-check an essay draft from ChatGPT, or a college professor might discuss an AI tool's limitations. They can also set norms that align with academic integrity and learning goals, requiring transparency when students use AI.

Model Lifelong Learning and Adaptability. Educators must engage in continuous learning through formal training or self-directed exploration to keep abreast of new AI tools and pedagogical strategies. By modeling this adaptive learning mindset and sharing their learning experiences with students, teachers reinforce the notion that education is an ongoing process for everyone.

Maintain the Human Connection. Most importantly, educators should focus on aspects of teaching that AI cannot replace mentoring students, providing socioemotional support, and cultivating an inclusive and motivating classroom environment. Empathy, encouragement, and personal feedback from teachers play a critical role in student development. In the AI era, teachers can reallocate time saved by technology (e.g., automated grading of simple tasks) to deepen these human-to-human interactions.

Using AI in Education. Some critical issues educators need to consider include teaching students in the following areas:

○ Appreciation for evidential standards across disciplines and of the impacts of automation on human autonomy and dignity

○ Articulation of quality standards in subjective domains

○ Awareness of cognitive biases in both human and AI reasoning

○ Balancing efficiency with human-centered values

○ Basic statistical concepts and data interpretation

○ Cross-disciplinary innovation and knowledge transfer

○ Defense of specifically human perspectives

○ Definition of desirable outcomes beyond quantitative metrics

○ Development of novel solutions

○ Ethical dimensions of data-driven systems

○ Generation of genuinely original concepts and approaches

○ Identification of appropriate confidence levels for claims, of previously unrecognized problems, and of system components and relationships

○ Integration of AI and human contributions and of multiple information sources

○ Recognition of AI-generated content characteristics, hallucinations, and confabulations; of algorithmic bias and fairness issues; and of feedback loops and emergent properties

○ Responsibility in human-machine systems usage

○ Strategies for cross-checking information

○ Technical troubleshooting and error identification

○ Understanding data collection, representation, and biases; appropriate and inappropriate use cases; privacy implications in technical systems; and synthetic media and deepfakes

○ Verification strategies for digital information

C. For Students and Learners

Many economic activities today are being impacted by AI in both the automation of certain tasks and the demand for new workforce competencies. Being an educated individual prepared for the future workforce means understanding the need for an up-to-date skill set.

Technical Skills. The increasing value of proficiency in AI-related technical domains is driving the growing importance of AI and big data skills, networks and cybersecurity, and general technological literacy (World Economic Forum, 2025). For those seeking roles directly involved in AI development or application, a foundational knowledge in machine learning (including supervised, unsupervised, and reinforcement learning), data science, and data analytics (including collecting, cleaning, processing, and interpreting large datasets) is essential. Familiarity with key AI tools and platforms (e.g., TensorFlow, PyTorch, IBM Watson) and programming languages commonly used in AI (Python, R, SQL) is often required. Depending on the specialization, skills in natural language processing (NLP) for interacting with language models or

computer vision for image recognition applications may also be necessary. For deeper technical roles, strong mathematical foundations in statistics, probability, linear algebra, and calculus are critical for building, understanding, and optimizing AI models.

Human-Centric and Durable Skills. Perhaps counterintuitively, as AI capabilities advance, the value placed on uniquely human skills—often termed "durable" or "soft" skills—intensifies. These competencies, listed below, that AI currently struggles to replicate are essential for navigating complexity, driving innovation, and managing human interactions.

● *Critical Thinking and Problem-Solving:* Needed to evaluate the accuracy, relevance, and potential biases of AI-generated outputs; contextualize AI insights within real-world scenarios; make nuanced judgments beyond algorithmic pattern recognition; identify AI limitations; resist misinformation; and solve complex, unstructured problems where AI might falter.

● *Creativity and Innovation:* True human ingenuity, originality, storytelling ability, and the capacity to inspire emotional resonance are skills vital for developing novel solutions, driving strategic initiatives, and applying knowledge in unconventional ways.

● *Adaptability and Lifelong Learning:* Because the speed of AI development results in specific technical skills quickly becoming outdated, adapting, embracing change, learning continuously, and maintaining a growth mindset are fundamental for long-term career resilience.

● *Communication and Collaboration:* Effective communication is essential for explaining complex AI concepts to non-experts, working in diverse teams (which may include AI agents), and translating AI insights into actionable, real-world strategies. Collaboration remains key for innovation and problem-solving.

● *Emotional Intelligence (EQ) and Empathy:* AI lacks a genuine understanding of human emotions and social contexts. EQ and empathy are crucial for leadership, teamwork, conflict resolution, understanding of user needs, and providing human-centered services.

● *Leadership and Social Influence:* Workforce reports highlight the increasingly important skills of guiding teams, managing change, and influencing stakeholders in complex, technology-driven environments.

Develop AI Literacy. Students should actively seek to understand and become proficient with the AI tools that are increasingly part of education and work. This could mean taking online courses or workshops on AI basics, experimenting with educational AI apps, or simply staying curious about AI's workings. Students do not need to be programmers to be considered educated, but they should know the capabilities and limits of the AI tools they encounter.

Use AI as a Supplement, Not a Substitute. It is recommended that learners view AI as a means to enhance their learning—for example, using a tutoring app to practice problems or a translation AI to help learn a new language—but not to avoid learning. To build their skills, students should practice hard thinking by themselves, using AI for feedback or inspiration rather than just outsourcing their work for complete answers. When using AI for assistance, students should do so transparently and in line with their institution's guidelines (to avoid academic misconduct).

Hone Critical and Creative Skills. Learners in the AI age should focus on sharpening their critical thinking by questioning the information they get (from AI or elsewhere) and engaging in analysis and research beyond just a quick AI answer. Similarly, they should take opportunities to be creative-writing, coding, designing, problem-solving-without relying on templates that AI might provide. These practices will help them develop original thought and resilience. It can be tempting to rely on AI for tasks, but students who challenge themselves will eventually be better prepared to face their futures.

Cultivate an Ethical Mindset and Integrity. Using AI with integrity means not cheating or plagiarizing with AI (recognizing that doing so undermines their learning), respecting others' privacy and data when using AI tools, and being mindful of the broader impact of AI (e.g., understanding how biased algorithms can affect society). By building a reputation as honest and responsible technology users, students also prepare for professional environments that will expect the same.

Engage in Lifelong Learning. To adopt the mindset that education does not end with a diploma, students can practice self-directed learning (e.g., learning a new software through online tutorials or picking up a new skill outside of class) to get comfortable continuously updating their knowledge.

D. For Policymakers and Educational Leaders

Develop National AI Education Strategies. Governments and education authorities should create clear strategies and frameworks for integrating AI into education systems. Countries such as Finland, Singapore, and China have launched national initiatives for AI in education; other education systems could benefit from similar forward-looking plans (UNESCO, 2024). Desirable strategies include updating national curriculum standards to incorporate AI literacy for all students, setting benchmarks for digital infrastructure in schools, and funding research on effective AI-in-education practices.

Ensure Equitable Access and Inclusion. To prevent AI from widening existing inequalities, policymakers must invest in reducing the digital divide that results from a lack of high-speed internet, devices, and AI software for rural or underprivileged schools, as well as support programs targeting underrepresented communities in tech. International bodies urge that AI's rollout in education be accompanied by strong equity measures so that all students, not just the most privileged, can become AI-literate (UNESCO, 2023).

Fund Teacher Training and Workforce Development. Policymakers should provide grants or budgets for large-scale teacher training in AI tools and digital pedagogy, which might require partnerships with universities or ed-tech companies to train educators en masse. Additionally, as AI is poised to transform the workforce, education ministries should collaborate with labor departments to ensure that retraining and upskilling opportunities are available. Lifelong learning infrastructure (such as community college programs, online course subsidies, and microcredentialing systems) may require public investment so that adults can continually update their competencies for an AI-rich economy.

Update Regulatory and Ethical Guidelines. It is recommended that policies be revised or created to address the ethical dimensions of AI in education. For example, student data privacy laws may need enhancements because AI platforms often collect user data. Guidelines for AI procurement in schools can mandate transparency about how an AI tool functions (to identify bias or risks). Education authorities might also issue ethical use policies, such as discouraging high-stakes decisions (e.g., university admissions

or tracking) from being made solely by algorithms without human oversight. By establishing a regulatory framework for AI in education (U.S. Department of Education, 2023), policymakers can protect students' rights and ensure technology is used for good.

Promote Research and Cross-Sector Collaboration. To effectively navigate AI's impact on society in general and on education in particular, policymakers should encourage collaboration between schools, academia, industry, and communities. Ways of achieving such collaboration could include funding pilot programs to evaluate new AI-driven teaching methods, creating task forces that bring educators and AI developers together to discuss needs and concerns, and participating in international dialogues to share best practices. The complexity of AI in society means solutions will require input from technologists,

educators, ethicists, employers, and students alike. Government leadership can convene these stakeholders and support evidence-based innovations. By proactively shaping the intersection of AI and education, policymakers and leaders ensure that the education system can continuously adapt, keeping humanity at the center of learning even as we embrace new technologies.

E. For Job and Career Seekers

Our analysis reveals significant variation in how AI is transforming different professional domains, with implications for educational preparation. **Table 2** summarizes findings regarding major career categories, anticipated AI impacts, and resulting educational priorities, as well as brief observations about future outlooks.

Table 2: Career Pathways, AI Impact, Educational Implications, and Future Outlooks

Career Category	Expected AI Impact	Key Educational Priorities	Future Outlook
Healthcare Professions	High augmentation, low displacement	Human relationship skills, AI collaboration capabilities, and bioethical reasoning	Strong growth with transformed roles requiring human-AI teamwork
Business & Management	Moderate augmentation, moderate displacement of middle management	Strategic thinking, human leadership, systems understanding, and AI business implementation	Bifurcation between strategic roles (growth) and routine management (decline)
Creative Industries	High augmentation, low displacement	Original ideation, AI collaboration, critical evaluation, aesthetic judgment	Growth in human-AI collaborative creation, decline in routine production
Legal Professions	High augmentation, moderate displacement	Complex reasoning, ethical judgment, client relationship skills, legal-technical integration	Transformation with decreasing demand for routine legal work
Education & Training	Moderate augmentation, low displacement	Human mentorship, adaptive pedagogy, personalized support and curriculum design	Transformation toward higher-value human teaching augmented by AI tools.
Technical & Engineering	High augmentation, moderate displacement	Novel problem-solving, systems design, ethical implementation, human-centered design	Growth in design and implementation roles, decline in routine coding and analysis.
Skilled Trades	Low augmentation, low displacement	Physical dexterity, spatial reasoning, adaptive problem-solving, and technical-AI integration	Stable with gradual transformation through AI-enhanced tools
Customer Service	High augmentation, high displacement	Complex human interaction, emotional intelligence, problem-solving beyond algorithms	Significant decline in routine service roles, growth in high-touch services
Research & Analysis	Very high augmentation, moderate displacement	Original question formulation, research design, interpretation of results, cross-disciplinary synthesis	Transformation with emphasis on human direction of AI-powered analysis
Administrative & Support	Very high augmentation, very high displacement	Human relationship management, complex coordination, systems understanding	Significant decline in remaining roles focused on human coordination.

Our findings suggest several broad patterns across career domains:

1. **Human-centered capabilities gain premium value**. Skills involving human relationship building, emotional intelligence, ethical reasoning, and complex communication increase in value across virtually all domains.

2. **Routine cognitive work faces displacement**. Roles centered on information processing, pattern recognition, and rule application face the highest displacement risk across sectors.

3. **Human-AI collaboration emerges as a universal requirement**. Virtually all professionals must develop capabilities for effective partnership with AI systems rather than competing against or entirely deferring to them.

4. **Cross-domain integration increases in importance**. The ability to connect knowledge across disciplinary boundaries and integrate diverse perspectives becomes increasingly valuable as AI handles domain-specific knowledge.

5. **Education must balance technical and human capabilities**. Successful educational preparation requires both technical AI fluency and distinctly human capabilities that complement, rather than compete with, AI systems.

7. Conclusions

Artificial intelligence is often described as a disruptive force. But this analysis shows that it also serves as a catalyst, prompting us to refocus on the very essence of education. In the age of AI, being considered educated is not simply having accumulated information—machines can do that part—but having developed a sophisticated blend of literacies, cognitive skills, ethical grounding, and humanistic qualities. Thus, educators and learners are challenged to aim higher on Bloom's taxonomy of learning: more analysis, evaluation, and creation; less rote memorization or uncritical absorption of facts. By reorienting our educational priorities now, we can ensure that the next generation is both adept with AI and richly human in the ways that matter most.

One overarching conclusion of our research is that education systems must become more agile and forward-looking. The traditional model of a single period of education in youth followed by a static career is quickly becoming obsolete. "Lifelong learning" is not just a slogan. It is necessary in an era where AI will continually alter the knowledge and skills landscape. Schools at all levels and employers must form an education continuum, supporting people to retrain and upgrade their skills at all stages. Being "educated" will increasingly be a dynamic status—the truly educated are those who keep learning, questioning, and growing with the changes around them.

Another key takeaway is the reaffirmation of human agency and values in an AI-pervasive world. The competencies identified—from critical thinking to ethical reasoning to emotional intelligence—underscore that the human mind and character remain not only necessary but paramount. As powerful as AI is, it is a tool humans create, a tool with no consciousness or moral judgment. Therefore, we are responsible for guiding how AI is developed and used. Education in the age of AI must be as much about nurturing wisdom, empathy, and ethical discernment as it is about conveying technical ability. In practice, this means teaching students how to use AI and when not to use AI, as well as not only how to get answers but also how to ask the right questions.

Looking ahead, we can be optimistic. If we adapt education to include AI literacy and bolster the enduring human strengths, we equip current and future generations with the ability to harness AI as a positive force. An educated populace that understands AI's workings, cares about its implications, and can collaborate effectively with technology will be able to solve problems in ways previously unimagined—from medical breakthroughs to environmental solutions. Conversely, if we fail to adapt, we risk a divide between a small cadre of AI-savvy elites and others left behind and the erosion of skills that define our humanity. The stakes are high, but the roadmap is becoming clear.

References

[1] Azmanova, A., & Blackman, L. (2023). Second-order critical thinking: Evaluating algorithmic knowledge systems. *Journal of Philosophy of Education, 57*(2), 215-233.

[2] Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2023). *The AI alignment: Human-machine partnerships in the age of intelligent tools.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

[3] Coffey, L. (2024, July 29). *Students and professors expect more cheating thanks to AI, inside* Higher Ed [online article].

[4] Graduate Management Admission Council. (2024, November 25). *As ChatGPT turns two, business schools double down on AI integration in the classroom* [press release].

[5] Hu, K. (2023, February 2). *ChatGPT sets record for fastest-growing user base––analyst note* Reuters [online article].

[6] Hughes, S. (2023, September 21). Why AI makes traditional education models obsolete-and what to do about it. *World Economic Forum* [online article].

[7] Johnson, M., Kaplan, J., & Smith, D. (2024). Assessment in the age of AI writing: Faculty perspectives and adaptation strategies. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 49*(2), 178-193.

[8] Kapoor, S. (2024). Algorithmic inequity: Examining bias in AI educational technology. *Computers & Education, 198*, 104745.

[9] Leckrone, B. (2025, April 17). *AI is now widespread in business school curriculum: Report*. BestColleges [online article].

[10] Noddings, N. (2023). *The ethics of care in technological education*. New York: Teachers College Press.

[11] Tambe, M. (2025, Jan. 6). *Will dependency on AI cause a lack of creativity in students?* BW Education [online article].

[12] UNESCO. (2023). *Guidance for generative AI in education and research.* Paris: UNESCO Publishing.

[13] UNESCO. (2024). *Education for the AI era: Global policy responses and innovative practices.* Paris: UNESCO Publishing.

[14] U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Technology. (2023). *Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Teaching and Learning: Insights and Recommendations.* Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

[15] Webb, A. (2023). *The third knowledge revolution: How generative AI is transforming human understanding.* New York: PublicAffairs.

[16] World Economic Forum. (2025). *Future of Jobs Report 2025.* Geneva: World Economic Forum.