The Journal of Education Insights Vol 4 Issue 2 2026

Original Research Article

Open Access

Research on Strategies for Cultivating Comprehensive Thinking Literacy in High School Geography Teaching

Dan Liu*

Zhuozhou Middle School, Zhuozhou, Hebei, 072750, China

***Correspondence to:** Dan Liu, Zhuozhou Middle School, Zhuozhou, Hebei, 072750, China, E-mail: 53276200@qq.com

Abstract: Comprehensive thinking is a key component of the core literacy in geography, requiring students to understand the geographical environment from dimensions such as element integration, spatio-temporal integration, and local integration. At present, high school geography teaching is confronted with problems such as fragmented knowledge, lack of spatio-temporal perspectives, and fixed thinking patterns, which restrict the cultivation of comprehensive thinking. Based on the definition of the connotation of comprehensive thinking, this paper analyzes the problems in teaching practice and proposes five strategies: constructing a knowledge network, applying the perspective of spatio-temporal evolution, creating real problem situations, introducing regional comparison methods, and optimizing the design of classroom questions. It also explores the guarantee conditions from three dimensions: teacher ability, teaching resources, and evaluation system, providing a reference for the implementation of core literacy cultivation.

Keywords: High School Geography; Comprehensive Thinking; Core Competencies; Teaching Strategies; Geography Teaching

Introduction

The new curriculum standards establish comprehensive thinking as one of the four core competencies in geography education, emphasizing that students should develop the ability to understand the geographical environment from multiple elements, scales, and dimensions. However, current teaching methods remain predominantly knowledge-oriented, with students' understanding of geographical phenomena often limited to isolated memorization. This makes it difficult for them to establish connections between elements, grasp spatiotemporal evolution, or conduct comprehensive regional analysis, resulting in a noticeable gap with curriculum requirements. How to effectively cultivate students' comprehensive thinking skills in classroom settings has become a critical challenge for frontline teachers.

1. Connotations of Comprehensive Thinking Literacy and Its Value in Geography Education

1.1 Conceptual Definition of Integrated Thinking

Comprehensive thinking is a cognitive approach that recognizes the wholeness, interrelatedness, and dynamics of the geographical environment, and represents a distinctive intellectual quality of geography. As defined by the new curriculum standards, it comprises three dimensions: element integration (viewing natural and human elements as an interconnected organic whole), spatiotemporal integration (dynamically understanding geographical processes across time and space), and place integration (analyzing local issues from a regional perspective). Distinguished from general logical thinking, comprehensive thinking emphasizes the systematicity and uncertainty of the geographical environment, requiring students to move beyond linear cause-and-effect thinking and develop a network thinking model characterized by multiple causes, multiple effects, and positive-negative feedback. This constitutes the core thinking trait that sets geography apart from other disciplines.

1.2 Key Components of Comprehensive Thinking

Comprehensive thinking can be analyzed from three dimensions: cognitive objects, cognitive processes, and cognitive levels. Cognitive objects encompass natural factors (climate, topography, hydrology, etc.) and human factors (population, industry, transportation, etc.), requiring consideration of both types of factors and their interactions. The cognitive process includes four progressive steps: identifying factors, establishing relationships, making holistic judgments, and proposing solutions, reflecting the deepening of thinking from partial to holistic. Cognitive levels are divided into basic-level ability to relate factors, intermediate-level ability for spatiotemporal reasoning, and advanced-level ability for system optimization and decision-making, progressing from lower to higher levels. Teachers should design differentiated training tasks based on students' cognitive levels to progressively enhance their thinking tiers [1].

1.3 The Unique Value of Comprehensive Thinking in Geography

Comprehensive thinking serves as the core intellectual hallmark that distinguishes geography from other disciplines. Firstly, geography bridges natural sciences and social sciences, with its research scope encompassing the interactions among the five major spheres of the Earth. Only through comprehensive thinking can one grasp its operational principles. Secondly, comprehensive thinking serves as a cognitive tool for addressing global challenges. Issues such as climate change and resource scarcity result from the interplay of multiple factors, and geographic comprehensive thinking provides an analytical framework for interdisciplinary integration. Thirdly, comprehensive thinking lays a foundational value for students' lifelong development. It helps students cultivate habits of systemic thinking, avoid simplistic judgments when confronting complex problems, and develop a comprehensive, dialectical, and developmental cognitive schema, which constitutes geography's unique contribution to the core competencies of citizens.

2. Major Issues in Developing Comprehensive Thinking in High School Geography

2.1 Fragmented Knowledge Instruction – Over-reliance on Listing Knowledge Points, Neglecting Interconnections Among Elements

Knowledge fragmentation is the primary obstacle hindering the development of comprehensive thinking. Influenced by exam-oriented education, teachers break down textbooks into isolated knowledge points and teach them using fixed templates, while students grasp them through rote memorization, forming isolated "knowledge islands." For example, when teaching "urbanization," educators often separately explain concepts, stages, problems, and solutions, but rarely guide students to consider how urbanization alters underlying surfaces to impact climate or adjusts industrial structures to affect water resource demands. Over time, students become accustomed to linear thinking that addresses issues in isolation, struggling when faced with comprehensive questions requiring multi-factor attribution [2].

2.2 Lack of Spatiotemporal Perspective – Inadequate Explanation of Geographic Process Evolution, Overly Static and Two-Dimensional

The discipline of geography is characterized by distinct temporal dynamics and spatial heterogeneity, yet teaching often exhibits a pronounced tendency toward static presentations. In terms of the temporal dimension, teachers present geographical phenomena as "snapshots" at specific points in time, overlooking long-term evolutionary trajectories. For instance, when teaching "fluvial landforms," the focus tends to be on morphological features, with less emphasis on guiding students to envision the million-year-scale processes of river formation. In the spatial dimension, instruction often remains at the descriptive level of "what phenomena exist in which regions," lacking analysis of connections across different scales. This dual absence of temporal and spatial perspectives makes it difficult for students to develop habits of thinking in terms of dynamic evolution.

2.3 Students' Stereotyped Thinking – Accustomed to Rote Memorization and Formulaic Answering, Lacking Flexible Transfer Ability

Prolonged exposure to fragmented and static teaching has gradually led students to develop rigid thinking patterns. Firstly, they tend to prioritize memorization over understanding, relying on rote recall of textbook content, which makes it difficult to apply knowledge when contexts change. Secondly, they favor templates over analysis—when confronted with comprehensive questions, they hastily apply pre-formed answer templates, mechanically listing conditions while overlooking region-specific limiting factors. Thirdly, they focus on conclusions rather than processes, paying attention to "standard answers" instead of "reasoning steps," lacking a complete tracing of causal chains. The underlying cause lies in insufficient training in higher-order thinking, as students rarely face challenging tasks that require connecting multiple factors or shifting across scales, leaving their thinking abilities stuck at lower cognitive levels for extended periods.

3. Instructional Strategies for Cultivating Comprehensive Thinking Literacy

3.1 Construct a Systematic Knowledge Network and Strengthen the Integration of Elements

To tackle the issue of fragmented knowledge, one can start by systematically constructing a knowledge network. First, use concept maps and mind maps to integrate units. After completing unit instruction, guide students to create structured diagrams with core concepts as nodes and logical relationships as connections. For instance, take "the holistic nature of the physical geographical environment" as a theme, visualizing the interactions among the five elements—climate, hydrology, landforms, soil, and biology. Second, introduce an "element correlation analysis table" for specialized training. For phenomena such as shrinking lake areas, students are required to list potential influencing factors from both natural and human dimensions, using arrows to indicate causal relationship chains [3]. Third, rely on typical cases to promote comprehensive analysis of elements. Using soil erosion in the Loess Plateau as an example, guide students to sequentially examine natural factors such as terrain slope, precipitation intensity, soil erodibility, and vegetation coverage, as well as human factors like excessive cultivation, overgrazing, and mineral extraction, then explore how these factors interact and amplify each other. These three progressive approaches help students gradually establish a complete thinking pathway of "element identification—correlation analysis—systematic synthesis."

3.2 Applying the Spatio-temporal Evolution Perspective to Cultivate Dynamic Integration

To compensate for the lack of a temporal perspective, the key lies in strengthening the dynamic representation and multi-scale analysis of geographical processes. The first approach involves introducing a timeline method to reconstruct geographical processes. For typical phenomena such as the centennial changes of a city, students are guided to annotate features of stages such as settlement emergence, functional zoning, suburbanization, and re-urbanization along the timeline, and to analyze the dominant driving factors at each stage. The second approach utilizes geographic information technology to display spatiotemporal evolution. Tools like the Google Earth time slider can dynamically present land-use changes, or GIS layer overlays can be employed to compare population distribution maps and industrial layout maps of the same area across different time periods. The third approach involves assigning open-ended tasks on "spatiotemporal prediction." Given the current characteristics and development trends of a region, students are required to predict potential geographical changes over the next two decades and clarify their reasoning. In completing this task, students need to integrate historical patterns with future scenarios, grasping geographical processes along a complete temporal axis.

3.3 Creating Authentic Problem Scenarios to Enhance Local Integration

Fostering regional comprehensive competence hinges on the creation of authentic problem scenarios. One viable approach involves tapping into local resources. By centering on real issues within the school's county, such as "why the water quality of a local river has been declining year by year," students can be organized to conduct on-site sampling, interview residents, and review environmental protection data, performing a comprehensive attribution analysis from perspectives including industrial and agricultural pollutant discharge, wastewater treatment rates, and river self-purification capacity [4]. Another pathway is to select topical geographic events. Taking the "Ten-Year Fishing Ban on the Yangtze River" policy as a case study, students can be guided to analyze its ecological context (depletion of fish resources), economic impact (transition of fishermen to alternative industries), social benefits (enhancement of ecological awareness), and the ripple effects following policy implementation. Furthermore, role-playing can be employed to deepen experiential learning. Dividing students into groups to assume roles such as government officials, corporate representatives, environmental organizations, and local residents, debates can be organized around the pros and cons of regional development projects. Within these specific regional contexts, students must weigh diverse value-based demands, gradually enhancing their regional comprehensive thinking skills through the clash of perspectives.

3.4 Introducing Regional Comparison Methods to Deepen Scale Synthesis

Enhancing the capacity for scale synthesis relies heavily on the effective strategy of regional comparison. One design involves assigning comparative tasks with "shared themes, diverse regions." When studying agricultural regional types, materials on the commercial grain agriculture in the central United States and rice cultivation in China's Yangtze River Delta are presented simultaneously. Students are required to systematically compare these two agricultural regional types across dimensions such as natural conditions, labor inputs, mechanization levels, and commercialization rates, thereby summarizing the formation mechanisms of these distinct agricultural types. Another design establishes a "scale conversion" analytical framework. Taking water scarcity as an example, students are guided to analyze the issue sequentially across three scales: the global distribution pattern of water resources, regional water supply capacity, and local water use structure, enabling them to understand the dominant factors and constraints at different scales [5]. Additionally, training in "regional analogical reasoning" can be conducted. First, detailed information about a familiar region is provided, followed by summary information about an unfamiliar region. Students are then tasked with using analogical reasoning to infer the potential geographical characteristics of the unfamiliar region and explain their reasoning. This process strengthens students' ability to transfer existing cognitive frameworks to new regions, deepening their understanding of the universality and specificity of geographical patterns.

3.5 Optimizing Classroom Question Design to Stimulate Multi-Dimensional Thinking

Classroom questioning serves as a daily tool for training thinking skills, and optimizing questioning methods has a cumulative effect on cultivating comprehensive thinking. The first approach is to construct a "progressive question chain." Starting with factual questions (e.g., "What type of climate does this region belong to?"), it transitions to relational questions (e.g., "How does this climate affect the hydrological characteristics of local rivers?"), then escalates to inferential questions (e.g., "If global warming continues, what changes might occur in the water resource supply-demand pattern in this region?"), and finally advances to evaluative questions (e.g., "Which adaptation strategy do you think the local area should prioritize? Why?"). The second approach is to employ the "Five Ws and One H method" (or "Six Whys analysis"). By sequentially asking "What, When, Where, Why, How, and What's your evaluation" about a geographical phenomenon, a complete chain of thought is formed. The third approach is to encourage students to ask questions independently and challenge each other's ideas. After inquiry activities, set aside dedicated time for students to raise questions they are still confused about and organize peers to attempt answers; simultaneously, train students to challenge and supplement others' viewpoints with well-reasoned arguments. Through these methods, students' thinking gradually shifts from passive response to active inquiry.

4. Guarantee Conditions for the Implementation of Teaching Strategies

4.1 Enhancement of Teachers' Professional Competence

Teachers are the primary responsible party for cultivating integrated thinking, with their own competencies and instructional design capabilities directly impacting the implementation effectiveness. First, teachers should strengthen their study of the history of geographic thought, deeply understanding the disciplinary essence of geography as "the science of human-environment relationships," grasping the philosophical foundations of the three dimensions of integration, and avoiding narrowing integrated thinking to mere test-taking techniques. Second, schools should leverage school-based teaching research to organize collective lesson planning sessions themed on "cultivating integrated thinking." Using teaching units as the framework, they should collaboratively explore the design of activities linking elements, embedding perspectives of temporal and spatial evolution, and creating regional comparison tasks, thereby developing replicable exemplary lesson models. Third, educational authorities should organize specialized training on core competencies, inviting experts and master teachers to conduct demonstration lessons and workshops to help teachers break through instructional inertia. Finally, teachers should cultivate reflective habits: after completing each unit, they should review which aspects promoted integrated thinking and which remained stuck in knowledge transmission, continuously iterating their instructional design [6].

4.2 Development and Utilization of Teaching Resources

Quality teaching resources serve as the material foundation for cultivating comprehensive thinking. First, establish a geographical chart library that systematically collects diagrams and maps of typical regions covering topography, climate, population, industries, transportation, etc. These resources are categorized and labeled by themes such as "element integration," "spatiotemporal evolution," and "regional comparison," facilitating teachers in selecting materials as needed. Second, develop a case study resource library focused on core topics like "holism and differentiation of the natural environment" and "regional sustainable development." This library features carefully selected representative cases, supplemented with background information, data charts, question sequences, and reference answers, forming standardized case teaching packages. Third, integrate digital resources by utilizing GIS software to enable students to perform operations like layer overlay and buffer analysis; employing virtual simulation platforms to simulate geographical processes such as volcanic eruptions and river capture; and leveraging platforms like "Tianditu" to access the latest remote sensing imagery and monitoring data, thereby providing authentic and authoritative data support for comprehensive thinking training.

4.3 Optimization of the Evaluation System

Evaluation serves as the baton of guidance. Only by optimizing the evaluation system can sustained momentum be provided for cultivating comprehensive thinking. Strengthen process-oriented assessment by incorporating classroom discussions, group inquiry reports, mind maps, and geographical essays into academic performance evaluation, setting a weight of no less than 30%, thereby guiding students to value the thinking process. Reform paper-and-pencil testing by reducing factual recall questions and increasing the proportion of contextualized, comprehensive test items, such as multi-factor causal analysis, inferring geographical processes from maps of different periods, and multi-regional comparative analysis of causes. Allow for multi-perspective responses, and award points as long as the logic is coherent and the evidence is sufficient. Introduce self-assessment and peer assessment mechanisms by requiring students to complete self-evaluation forms on their thinking processes, reflecting on aspects such as element identification, causal chains, and spatial scales, and organizing group peer evaluations to facilitate the exchange and collision of ideas.

Conclusion

Integrated thinking is the soul of the core competencies in geography. This paper defines the basic connotation of integrated thinking from three dimensions: element integration, spatio-temporal integration, and regional integration. It analyzes prominent issues in current teaching, such as fragmented knowledge, lack of spatio-temporal perspective, and the rigid thinking patterns of students. The paper proposes teaching strategies including constructing knowledge networks, applying spatio-temporal perspectives, creating authentic contexts, introducing regional comparisons, and optimizing classroom questioning. Furthermore, it discusses implementation safeguards from three aspects: teacher capabilities, teaching resources, and evaluation systems. Cultivating integrated thinking is a systematic project that requires teachers to consistently incorporate it into every lesson and refine it through continuous inquiry, so that students can truly develop the unique thinking character inherent to geography.

References

[1] Liu Gang. Research on Strategies for Cultivating Students' Comprehensive Thinking Ability in High School Geography Teaching[J]. Exam Weekly, 2023(34): 137-141.

[2] Zhang Ran, Zhang Hui. Research on Strategies for Cultivating Comprehensive Geographic Thinking in High School Based on Feynman Learning Method—Taking Section 1 of Chapter 3, "Agricultural Location Factors and Their Changes," in the Compulsory Course 2 of the People's Education Press High School Geography Textbook as an Example[J]. Teaching Research in Primary and Secondary Schools, 2026, 27(2): 39-45.

[3] WANG Chunjiang, YANG Xuhua. Research on High School Geography Image Teaching Strategies Aimed at Cultivating Comprehensive Thinking[J]. Teaching and Management, 2023(18):84-87.

[4] ZHU Huasheng, HUANG Peixin, YU Tingting. Research on the Cultivation Strategy of Comprehensive Thinking in High School Geography Based on the DSRP Framework — A Case Study of the “Jiangsu Wuzhong Biluochun Tea-Fruit Compound System” [J]. Geography Teaching, 2025(5):25-30.

[5] Ge Lichao. Research on Strategies for Cultivating Students' Comprehensive Literacy in High School Geography Teaching from the Perspective of Big Ideas [J]. Teacher, 2024(8):69-71.

[6] Zhang Jin. Strategies for Cultivating Comprehensive Thinking in High School Geography Teaching[J]. Sihang Monthly, 2025(9): 123-125.